

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Boz 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4283
09/652,580		08/31/2000	Vishnu K. Agarwal	98-0616.15	
27076	7590	05/22/2003			
	& WHIT		EXAMINER		
SUITE 340	00	.OPERTY DEPART -	EVERHART, CARIDAD		
	H AVENU WA 981	_	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, ,			2825	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	V			
Office Action Summary		09/652,580		AGARWAL, VISHNU K.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Caridad M. E		2825	d			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ars on the c	oversh etwithth	corr spond nce ad	aress			
A SHO THE M - Exten after to - If the - If NO - Failur - Any fr	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event y within the statuto will apply and will r	however, may a reply be tile ry minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timel n the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.			
3tatus 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 151	April 2003 .						
2a)□	·	nis action is n	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except	for formal matters, p	prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	Ex parte Qu	nyle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213 .				
-	Claim(s) <u>54-56 and 76-92</u> is/are pending in th							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from cons	sideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>54-56,76,78-83,85,86 and 88-92</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) 77,84 and 87 is/are objected to.		automost					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quirement.					
• •	ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		bjected to by the Ex	aminer.				
19)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on							
,_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen			·				
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT l	Rule 17.2(a)).		ı Stage			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes				al application).			
İ	a) The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional ap	plication h <mark>as been r</mark>	eceived.				
Attachme		, , ,						
1) Not Not 2) Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>15</u> .	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper N al Patent Application (F				

Application/Control Number: 09/652,580

Art Unit: 2825

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 54-56 and 76, 78-83,85,86, 90-92 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim⁵:54-56 and 76, 78-83,85,86,88-92 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (US 5,552,341) in view of Jung, et al. ("Jung")(US 6,190,837B1).

Lee discloses the steps of forming a conductor on a substrate(col. 9, lines 30-32), treating with a silylation compound such as silane(col. 9, lines 43-46 and claim 1 and col. 14, lines 53-61), and forming a second condutor such as Al(col. 10, lines 1-3). The conductor can also comprise Cu(col. 17, lines 8-11). The silylation treatment prevents diffusion, including the effects of oxygen, as the silylation layer would react with oxygen before the oxygen reached the metal because the silylation layer is hydrogen terminated(col. 10, lines 50-51 and lines 37-43). The first conductor may comprise W or WN(col. 4, lines 20-25). The second conductive layer can comprise WN, since further barrier material is deposited after the silylation layer(col. 10, lines 60-69). The Al layer can then be interpreted as a third conductive layer. This layer may comprise Cu, as pointed out above. The temperature is within the recited range (col. 11, lines 37-41). With respect to the flow rates, these are variables of the art, which one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine with experimentation. With respect

Application/Control Number: 09/652,580

Art Unit: 2825

to the choice of inert gas, Lee teaches N2(col. 10, lines 15-20), so that it would have been within the ordinary skill in the art to have used another inert gas.

Although Lee is silent with respect to oxygen, it is expected that some oxygen is prevented from diffusing for the reasons given above, for example that the silvlation layer will have hydrogen termination and as stated above.

Lee does not teach methylsilane.

Jung is relied upon only for its teaching of trimethyl silane for silylation(col. 3, lines 28-32).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have used the trimethyl silane as taught by Jung rather than the silane as the silylating agent because the addition of the methyl groups would stabilize the radical that would be formed by the reaction, thereby increasing the reactivity. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have used methyl silane because trimethyl silane and methyl silane are homologous.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 77, 84 and 87 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record does not teach the tantalum oxide layer nor the forming a dielectric layer on the first conductive layer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 703Art Unit: 2825

308-3455. The examiner can normally be reached on through Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 703-368-1323. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceuling is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the states of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptomist whose to the number is 703-308-0956.

CARIDAD EVERHART PRIMARY EXAMINER

C. Everhart May 17, 2003